FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 01/11/2024

David W. Sla	yton, Executive Officer	Clerk of Court
By:	R. Aspiras	Deputy

99	2			
312	3			
7202	1			
1/16	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT O	F THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
Electronically Received 11/16/2023 12:50	SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES			
	SUI ENION COURT OF LOS ANGELES			
yRe S	Third William Wills, on commit of notion and	Case No.: 23STCV12912		
nical	others similarly situated	Assigned for All Purposes to Hon. William F.		
Ē 10	Plaintiff,	Highberger; Dept. 10		
<u>ш</u> 1		[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL TO		
12	v. v.	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL TO CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT		
13	PROLINK STAFFING SERVICES, LLC; and			
14	DOEG 1 20 DIGILIGINE			
1:	Defendants.			
10	5	TI'ID . N. G.		
1′	7	Trial Date: Not Set Action Filed: June 6, 2023		
18	3			
19				
20				
2				
22				
23	3			
24	1			
23	5			
20	5			
2	7			
28	3			

The Court has before it Plaintiff Amy Williams' ("Plaintiff") Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement with Defendant ProLink Staffing Services, LLC ("Defendant"). Unless otherwise specified, all capitalized terms in this Order shall have the same meaning ascribed to them in the Class Action Settlement Agreement ("Settlement" or "Agreement").

The Court hereby finds and ORDERS as follows:

- 1. The Court grants preliminary approval to the Settlement. The Settlement falls within the range of possible approval as fair, adequate and reasonable, and appears to be the product of arm's-length and informed negotiations and to treat all Class Members fairly. Continued litigation would have been expensive for both sides. The Parties acknowledge that litigating and trying this action may have resulted in delay of any recovery, involved significant risk as to liability and certification, and led to possible appeals. Class Counsel received the relevant information for the Class. Plaintiff has adequately demonstrated that the Settlement did not occur until Plaintiff and Class Counsel possessed sufficient information to evaluate the case and make an informed decision about settlement. The Settlement sets out the terms upon which Defendant will settle all claims which have been brought against it in this matter.
- 2. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the allocation of funds in settlement for releasing the Released Parties from the Released Class Claims falls within the range of reasonableness and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval. The Court preliminarily accepts the parties' definition of the Class, for settlement purposes only, which is as follows:

All non-exempt employees who work or worked for Defendant as healthcare professionals in California during the period from June 6, 2019 to November 12, 2023, and who have not executed an arbitration agreement.

- 3. The Court conditionally certifies and approves, for settlement purposes only, and without prejudice to any Party's rights to support or oppose class certification should the Settlement not receive final approval by this Court, the Class described in this Order, the Motion for Preliminary Approval, and the Agreement.
- 4. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Plaintiff Amy Williams as the Class Representative.

1 5. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Ashkan Shakouri and Sharon Lin 2 of Shakouri Law Firm as counsel for the Class ("Class Counsel"). 3 6. The Parties shall retain the services of CPT Group, Inc. for the administration of the 4 Settlement, and said entity is hereby appointed Administrator. As described in the Agreement, Defendant shall provide the Administrator with the 5 7. Class Data, as specified in the Agreement, no later than 30 calendar days after the date of entry of this 6 7 Order. As described in the Agreement, by no later than 14 calendar days after receiving the Class 8 Data, the Administrator shall provide notice of settlement ("Class Notice") to all Class Members by 9 first class U.S. mail to their last known address according to the information that Defendant will 10 provide to the Administrator pursuant to the Agreement. The Class Notice shall substantively be in 11 the form lodged as Exhibit A to the Agreement. The Court finds that the content and schedule of the 12 mailings discussed in this Order meet the requirements of due process, provide the best notice 13 practicable, and will constitute sufficient notice to Class Members. 14 8. Class Members may exclude themselves from the Class, relinquishing their rights to 15 their Individual Class Payments by sending to the Administrator by U.S. mail a written "Request for 16 Exclusion," as described in the Class Notice. Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, all Class 17 Members will be bound by the Agreement and its release unless they timely file a proper Request for 18 Exclusion. A Class Member who opts out of the Settlement will not release his or her claims pursuant 19 to the Agreement. 20 9. Any Class Member who has not opted out and believes that the Settlement should not be finally approved by the Court for any reason may object to the Settlement. Class Members' 22 written objections to the Administrator must be mailed not later than 45 days after the Settlement 23 Administrator mails the Class Notice to them. Class Members may also appear in person or through 24 an attorney, if they so desire, at the Final Approval Hearing to make their objection orally, regardless 25 of whether they have submitted written objections to the Settlement. 26 10. The Court sets a Hearing on Final Approval for Í et hegi 27 CKH€Á a.m./p.m. in Department 10 of the Superior Court of the State of California, 28 County of Los Angeles, located at 312 N. Spring St., Los Angeles, CA 90012. Plaintiff must file a - 3 -

- 11. All further proceedings in this action will be stayed except such proceedings necessary to review, approve, and implement this Settlement.
- 12. Neither this Order nor the Agreement, nor any of their terms or provisions, nor any of the negotiations or proceedings connected with them, shall be construed as an admission or concession by Defendant of the truth of any of the allegations in this litigation, or of any liability, fault, or wrongdoing of any kind.
- 13. In the event: (i) the Court does not finally approve the Settlement in a manner contemplated by the Agreement; (ii) the Court does not enter a Final Approval Order and Judgment, as contemplated by the Settlement, which becomes final as a result of the occurrence of the Effective Date (as that term is defined by the Settlement); or (iii) the Settlement does not become final for any other reason, the Settlement and any related Class shall be null and void and any order or judgment entered by this Court in furtherance of the Settlement shall be deemed as void from the beginning. In such a case, the Parties and any funds to be awarded under this Settlement shall be returned to their respective statuses as of the date and time immediately prior to the execution of the Settlement, and the Parties shall proceed in all respects as if no Class had been certified and the Settlement Agreement had not been executed.
- 14. Neither the Settlement, preliminary approved or not, nor any exhibit, document, or instrument delivered hereunder, nor any statement, transaction or proceeding in connection with the negotiation, execution or implementation of the Settlement, shall be admissible in evidence for any reason, except as provided in the Settlement or to enforce the releases contained therein. The Court has made no findings on the merits and Defendant has denied the allegations in the operative complaint.
- 15. The Court hereby grants preliminary approval of the Settlement as of the date of this Order.

1		
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
3		101121
4	01/11/2024 Dated:	HON. WILLIAM F. HIGHBERGER
5		HON. WILLIAM F. HIGHBERGER JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
6		JOBGE OF THE SOFEMON COOK!
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		- 5 -